

Sh Happy kumar, S/o Sh. Daulat Ram, Chamber No. 60, New Court Complex, Abohar Road, **Malout** Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Complainant

...Respondent

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o District Food Supply Officer, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

#### Complaint Case No. 894 of 2020

#### PRESENT: Sh.Happy Kumar as the Complainant None for the Respondent

## ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 16.03.2020 has sought information regarding license number of depot holder Sh.Rakesh Kumar alongwith area covered– terms & conditions for running a ration depot – number of cardholders with the said depot – details of ration distributed since 2017 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DFSO Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 02.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. As per complainant, the information has not been provided by the PIO.

Since the notice issued to the PIO was inadvertently delivered by the postal authority in the office of District Forest Officer instead of District Food Supply Officer, and was returned back from the District Forest Office, the notice is being resent to District Food Supply Officer, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. A copy of earlier notice alongwith copy of RTI application is being attached with the order for the PIO's perusal.

The PIO-District Food Supply Officer, Sri Mukatsar Sahib is directed to look at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021



Sh Kuldeep Singh, S/o Sh. Boota, R/o Village chak Dogra, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.

Versus

... Complainant

**Public Information Officer,** O/o SSP, Amritsar, (Rural),

...Respondent

## Complaint Case No. 946 of 2020

## PRESENT: None for the Complainant None for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 16.10.2020 has sought information regarding name and designation of the enquiry officers in FIR No.58 dated 30.04.2018 which was filed against Lakh Singh & Others on the basis of applicant's statement – copy of rule for presenting the challan in the court – comments on the delay of 3 years in arresting the accused and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Amritsar (Rural). The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 10.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties are absent.

Having gone through the record, the commission observes that there is no response of the PIO in attending to the RTI application and there has been an enormous delay of more than six months.

The PIO is directed to respond to the RTI application otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate proceedings against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021



Sh. Ramesh Kumar, S/o Sh Amar Nath, H NO-1652, Sardar Nagar, Opposite Central Jail, Ajnala Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o SSP, Rural,

Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o SSP, Rural, Amritsar.

## Appeal Case No. 3651 of 2020

...Respondent

## PRESENT: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant None for the Respondent

## ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 02.10.2020 has sought information on 12 points regarding FIR no.83/18 dated 16.08.2018- enquiry report of the enquiry – copy of rule for temporarily not implementing the findings of enquiry – name of officer deputed for 2<sup>nd</sup> enquiry of Prince Kumar and Vishal Singh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Amritsar(Rural). The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 28.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 15.03.2021, the PIO has sent a reply, which has been taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO has stated that a re-enquiry was conducted by the then SP(HQ) Amritsar (R) who recommended the cancellation of the present case which was approved by the Director BOI. The Director BOI directed to proceed as per enquiry and the order of PO may be got recalled by moving a separate application before the Hon'ble court. On the basis of that report, the case has been filed in the court of SDJM Ajnala for cancellation on 19.08.2020 and since the case is pending in the court, the information cannot be provided and the appellant has been asked to get the information from the concerned court.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the RTI application is not legible for the commission to take any decision.

The appellant is directed to send a typed legible copy of RTI application to the Commission for perusal of the appeal case.

A copy of reply received from the PIO is being sent to the appellant with the order.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

Point Provide Contraction

Smt Varinder Kaur, # 490, Urban Estate, Phase-1, Jalandhar

... Appellant

Versus

## Public Information Officer,

O/o Police Commissioner, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Police Commissioner, Amritsar.

...Respondent

## Appeal Case No. 3735 of 2020

## PRESENT: None for the Appellant None for the Respondent

## ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 24.08.2020 has sought information regarding complete postmortem report dated 04.08.2017 alongwith report on the internal body parts sent in Kharar/Kurali and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Police Commissioner, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 24.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties are absent.

The appellant vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The Commission has received a copy of the letter of the PIO dated 19.11.2020 through email vide which the PIO had sent reply to the appellant that the deceased's organs in a packed box No.160/2017 dated 11.08.2017 were sent to Chemical Examiners Kharar through Sh.Hardeep Singh No.1053-Amritsar ®, but a report from them has not yet been received and hence the information cannot be provided.

The PIO-Chemical Examiners, Kharar is impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application, (a copy of which is enclosed with the order), and provide the information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. Appellant to appear at DAC complex Jalandhar.

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

CC to: PIO-Chemical Examiner, Chemical Laboratory, Civil Hospital Complex, Kharar(Mohali)



Sh. Satnam Singh, S/o Sh. Mohan Singh, R/o Village Tera Rujputa, P.O Gurala, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt Amritsar.

... Complaint

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o SSP, Amritsar, Rural.

...Respondent

## Complainant Case No. 899 of 2020

## PRESENT: None for the Complainant None for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 05.11.2019 has sought information regarding FIR No.30 dated 14.02.2014 PC Thana Ajnala – copy of enquiry report along with statement of witnesses and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Amritsar (Rural). The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 02.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties are absent.

Having gone through the record, the commission observes that there is no response of the PIO in attending to the RTI application and there has been an enormous delay of more than one year & four months.

The PIO is directed to respond to the RTI application otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate proceedings against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, # 585, Phase-2, Mohali.

Versus

... Appellant

#### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Food & Civil Supplies Controller, Phase-2, Mohali

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Food & Civil Supplies Controller, Phase-2, Mohali

...Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 3887 of 2020

## PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Mandeep Singh, AFSO for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 11.08.2020 has sought information regarding Letter No.237-38/sps-2 dated 12.09.2019 received from the office of DC Mohali in respect of complaint against Bikanervala, A journey of traditional Indian Govt. delight, Connaught Plaza TDI City with noting and decision of all concerned – present status of case and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Food & Civil Supplies Controller, Pb Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 07.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that a complaint dated 01.09.2019 was filed by the appellant in the office of Deputy Commissioner against eatery Bikanerwala, Journey Traditional Indian Delight SAS Nagar relating to unhygienic food being served in the said restaurant.

As per the present respondent from the office DFCSC office, the RTI was forwarded by the DC office to their office and the office of Civil Surgeon, SAS Nagar on 12.09.2019. The respondent further maintained that the information sought in RTI application does not relate to their office and was inadvertently sent to them. And since the appropriate action taking authority in this case is the office of Civil Surgeon, SAS Nagar, it is the office of the Civil surgeon under whose custody this information lies. I agree with the argument of the DFCSC and exempt their office from this case.

Since a copy of the RTI application has already been marked to Civil Surgeon, SAS Nagar Mohali by the DC office, the Civil Surgeon Mohali is directed to provide information as per the RTI Act within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission. A copy of the RTI is being sent along.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.

Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021

CC to PIO-Civil Surgeon, SAS Nagar, Mohali



Sh. Parshotam Kumar, S/o Sh. Jagdish Raj, R/o H No-498, Street Baba Bachan Dass, Jalalabad, Distt Fazilka.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o Food and Drug Administration,

Kharar, Distt Mohali.

...Respondent

## Complaint Case No. 941 of 2020

# PRESENT: None for the Complainant None for the Respondent

## ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 20.12.2019 has sought information regarding license number and date of issue of license against applicant's application dated 17.05.2011 – copy of objection if any raised for issue of license – name & address of the officer responsible for delay in issue of license and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Food & Drug Administration Kharar. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 10.12.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali. The appellant to appear at DAC Complex, Fazilka.

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Sh. Jagmohan Singh Bhatti, # 919 Phase-4, Sector-59, Mohali.

... Complainant

Versus

## Public Information Officer,

O/o President, Punjab State Consumer Redressal Commission, Sector-37-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

## Complaint Case No. 910 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Complainant None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 07.09.2020 has sought information regarding appointment letter of entire staff of Punjab State Consumer Redressal Commission for last 5 years – detail of appointment of President of the Commission after his retirement as a High Court Judge - copy of order of appointment - and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of President, Punjab State Consumer Redressal Commission, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 25.11.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner





Smt Harpreet Kaur W/o Sh. Gurbhawan Singh Village Attari, P.O Badhai, Tehsil & Duistt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o SSP.

Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority, DIG, Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur.

## Appeal Case No. 100 of 2021

...Respondent

PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Sukhdev Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.09.2020 has sought information regarding detailed report of doctor prepared and sent to Police Station Cantt. Ferozepur relating to hitting/harming Manmohan Singh, Ram Singh, Lavpreet Singh and Major Singh on 06.03.2020 against DSP Crime – copy11 of FIR registered against the accused – copy of challan presented in the court and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Punjab Police Ferozepur. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 09.10.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First appellate Authority on 07.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. As per respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 12.12.2020 & 16.03.2021.

The appellant is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 18.03.2021 has informed that the PIO has not provided the information on point-4(3) and further the PIO has supplied the incomplete information after the issue of notice of the Commission.

Regarding point-4(3), the respondent stated that since no FIR was registered, no challan was prepared and presented in the court.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information that has been provided, I find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further course of action is required.

However, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is given to the appellant to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing and point out the discrepancies, if any.

To come up for further hearing on **03.08.2021** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

Chandigarh Dated:06.05.2021